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Abstract. The aim of our work is to develop Intelligent Decision Support (IDS) tools and techniques to convert traffic data into intelligence to assist network managers, operators and to aid the travelling public. The IDS system detects traffic problems, identifies the likely cause and recommends suitable interventions which are most likely to mitigate congestion of that traffic problem. In this paper, we propose to extend the existing tools to include dynamic hierarchical and distributed processing; algorithm optimisation using natural computation techniques; and, using a meta-learner to short-circuit the optimisation by learning the best settings for specific data set characteristics and using these settings to initialise the GA.

1 Introduction

Intelligent Decision Support (IDS) systems are an important computerised tool in many problem domains. The aim of our work is to provide an IDS tool to assist traffic network operators to optimally manage traffic. Within the FREEFLOW transport project (http://www.freeflowuk.net/), we have developed a pattern matching tool for Intelligent Decision Support [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. The tool is able to:

- detect traffic problems,
- identify likely causes,
- recommend suitable control interventions,
- predict future traffic flows.

The pattern matching tool implements the k-nearest neighbour data mining algorithm [6, 7]. It uses the Advanced Uncertain Reasoning Architecture (AURA) for pattern matching [8, 9] to find the nearest neighbours. AURA is based on binary associative neural networks and can store large amounts of data and allows fast searches [8, 9, 10]. The AURA pattern matching tool employs a unified framework for both intervention recommendation and traffic state prediction. The only variation lies in how the matches are post-processed. It can fuse data from various traffic sources such as sensors embedded in the road, journey times, queue lengths, weather, time of day, etc. It can identify the cause of traffic problems by matching and cross-referencing historical data and use the results to make recommendations to traffic
network managers [1, 3, 4, 5]. The AURA pattern matching tool can also predict traffic variable values to plug gaps in the data [2]. It can predict values to overcome a sensor failure or to look ahead and anticipate congestion problems, for example. We call the AURA pattern matching tool that implements the k-nearest neighbour algorithm AURA k-NN. A brief description of how we implement AURA k-NN for both intervention recommendation and traffic state prediction is given next. For a more detailed description see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9].

2 AURA Pattern Matcher

The foundation of AURA is the Correlation Matrix Memory (CMM). A CMM is a binary matrix used to store and retrieve binary vectors. All matrix elements are initialised to zero as in equation (1).

\[
CMM_0 = 0 .
\]  

(1)

AURA k-nearest neighbour (AURA k-NN) is an implementation of the k-nearest neighbour (k-NN) [6, 7] classification or prediction method [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 11] using AURA. K-NN is a widely used data-mining algorithm that uses similar procedures for clustering [12], outlier detection [13, 14], classification and prediction by examining the distances to the nearest neighbours. In the AURA implementation of k-NN, each column of the CMM is a tuple or record (set of traffic variable observations) and each row indexes a variable value. For traffic data, each column represents a date/time record of traffic variable observations. The variable observations can encompass sensor readings, weather data, journey times, queue lengths etc. In one column, the set of all rows represents all values for all variables for that date/time record.

Training. In AURA in general [15] and in the AURA k-NN implementation, the CMM is trained by learning associations between a binary input vector \( I_n \) which indexes matrix rows and a binary output vector \( O_n \) which indexes matrix columns as shown by equation (2) and Fig. 1.

\[
CMM_k = CMM_{k-1} \oplus I_k \bullet O_k^T .
\]  

(2)

The data are quantised and binned prior to training allowing numeric data to map onto the binary input vectors required for CMM training. Each row of the CMM represents a bin (range of variable values). Thus, an input vector representing the quantised variable values for a record is associated with an output vector uniquely identifying that record for all \( N \) records in the data set.
Recall. Recall involves identifying the best matching patterns stored in the CMM (the best matching columns). The recall procedure varies across AURA implementations [15]. In AURA k-NN recall, the query vector $Q$ is a weighted (positive integer) vector that activates rows in the CMM with integer-valued scores. To emulate Euclidean distance, AURA k-NN applies parabolic kernels to the query vector $[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8]$. There is one kernel per variable and each kernel is centred on the value of that variable in the query. The kernel scores represent distance. The score is at a maximum at the query variable value and decrease with bin distance from the query value. To retrieve the $k$ nearest neighbours, AURA effectively calculates the dot product of the integer-valued query vector $Q$ and the CMM as in equation (3).

$$S^T = \sum CMM \bullet Q.$$  

The columns of the matrix are summed according to the value on the rows indexed by the integer-valued query vector $Q$ producing a positive integer-valued output vector $S$ (the summed output vector). This output vector $S$ is thresholded by selecting the $k$ columns in $S$ with the highest scores. Thresholding produces a binary thresholded vector ($T$) with a bit set in each of the $k$ highest scoring columns. Thus, after thresholding, $T$ effectively lists the top $k$ matching columns from the CMM thus identifying the top $k$ matching records. These $k$ nearest neighbours can then be used to provide a traffic intervention recommendation [1, 3, 4, 5] or to produce a traffic state prediction [2].
Results. The AURA k-NN has been applied to real-time intelligent transport monitoring [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. For both recommendation and prediction, the AURA k-NN identifies similar historical traffic patterns: time periods in the past when the traffic state, as represented by a set of traffic sensor readings, is as close to the current state as possible. The only variation between recommendation and prediction lies in processing these matches. For recommendation, the set of traffic control interventions implemented during these similar historical time periods are cross-referenced from the historical data and recommended to the traffic operator for implementation, [1, 3, 4, 5]. For prediction, AURA k-NN extrapolates and produces a prediction of the future traffic value by averaging the variable value across the set of matches [2]. Results include tests of the AURA k-NN for recommendation performed using data from Hyde Park Corner (HPC) in London, UK [3]. There are 32 traffic sensors in the HPC area with five serious or severe congestion events during the data recording period. We compared the state (congested/uncongested) of the top 5 matches selected by AURA k-NN against the recorded (actual) state for each sensor for each congestion event giving 800 sensor comparisons. AURA k-NN produced only 43 errors from the 800 sensor comparisons.

2.1 Hierarchical

We propose extending this AURA k-NN framework to a hierarchical distributed approach for intervention recommendation across large-scale networks. A hierarchical processing approach allows the geographical location under consideration to be varied according to the spread of the traffic problem. The location could vary from a single junction up to a large city area as appropriate. We will fuse traffic and other relevant data from multiple locations, detect the spread of congestion at regular intervals and search for similar historical incidents with similar spreads of congestion, i.e. at a similar level in the hierarchy. Thus, the location and spread can vary dynamically at each time interval as the congestion spreads or contracts. AURA permits partial matching so historical incidents that are most similar with respect to both the incident features and the geographical spread will be found. The process is illustrated conceptually in Fig. 2.

We can achieve a hierarchical representation using CMM row masking. Masking varies the number of variables to query. Thus, for a CMM storing data for forty sensors, to query sensors 1-10 we only examine the CMM rows that relate to those ten sensors and “mask off” all other rows, i.e., we do not activate those other rows. To query sensors 1-40, we examine all CMM rows (four times as many rows). This allows a simple, efficient “hierarchical” representation with no data repetition. Masking means that the number of sensors to query can be varied dynamically according to the geographical spread of congestion on the road network. Only sensors within the region of congestion need to be queried. The partial match capability of AURA allows the AURA k-NN to find the best match with respect to both the variables (sensor readings, weather etc.) and the geographical spread of the congestion.
In figure 2a, congestion is detected over a small area (10 sensors) and the neural network is used for recommendation and prediction against the data vector for the 10 sensors. In figure 2b, the congestion has spread to cover 20 sensors, so the data vector for the 10 sensors expands to cover 20 sensors.

The levels in the hierarchy represent different sized geographical locations and store data for different numbers of traffic sensors. The levels may represent just a few sensors at the bottom of the hierarchy but the levels at the top of the hierarchy may represent large geographical areas covering, potentially, thousands of sensors. Storing and processing data for large numbers of sensors requires careful consideration with respect to memory usage and processing speed. Storing data for more sensors increases the size of the CMM in the AURA k-NN which increases memory usage and slows processing [8]. However, the hierarchical processing approach described above lends itself to distributed processing. This could be processing the traffic data at the same geographical location across multiple compute nodes (parallel search) or even processing the traffic data at multiple geographical locations and assimilating the results (distributed processing). When the number of sensors is large but the sensor data is stored in one location then parallel searching is preferable, for example, sensor data for all traffic sensors of one local government authority area stored at one site. Where the number of traffic sensors is large and the data is physically spread across geographical locations such as traffic sensors covering multiple local authority areas where each authority stores data for its own sensors then distributed searching across the multiple sites is preferable.

Parallel. In [16], we demonstrated a parallel search implementation of AURA. This entails “striping” the data across several parallel CMM subsections. The CMM is effectively subdivided vertically across the output vector as shown in Fig. 3. In the traffic data, the number of variables $m$ (sensor readings, journey times, weather data
etc.) is much less than the number of records $N$ (date/time records). Hence, $m << N$. Therefore, we subdivide the data along the number of records $N$ (column stripes) as shown in Fig. 3.

Fig. 3. The CMM covers a large time period so, to speed processing, the CMM is subdivided (striped) across a number of CMM stripes. Each CMM stripe produces a thresholded output vector $T_n$ containing the top $k$ matches (and their respective scores) for that stripe. All $T_n$ are aggregated to form a single thresholded output vector $T$ that lists the top $k$ matches overall.

Splitting the data across multiple CMMs using the date/time dimension (columns) means that the CMM can store data for all sensors, journey times, and weather data as separate rows within a single stripe. Each date/time is a separate record all contained within a single stripe.

Each separate CMM stripe (each separate date/time section) outputs a thresholded vector containing the top $k$ records from that CMM stripe and their respective scores. All top $k$ matches from all CMM stripes are aggregated and the top $k$ matches overall can then be identified by finding the $k$ matches with the overall highest scores.

Note: if the number of variables is large (for a large city area there may be a high number of sensors and other data) then it is possible to subdivide the data across multiple CMMs. The CMM is divided by the data/time of the records (column stripes) and then the column stripes are subdivided by the input variables (row stripes). Dividing the CMM using the variables (row stripes) makes assimilating the results more complex. Each row stripe produces a summed output vector containing column subtotals for those variables within the stripe. The column subtotals need to be assimilated from all row stripes that hold data for that column. Thus, we sum these column subtotals to produce a column stripe vector $C$ holding the overall sum for each column in that stripe and find the $k$ top scoring columns as previously.

Row striping involves assimilating integer vectors of length $c$ where $c$ is the number of columns for the column subdivision (column stripe).

**Distributed.** A distributed approach for AURA search has been proven in the context of condition monitoring for civil aerospace [17]. We wish to extend the principles developed in that domain and apply them to the challenges of city or region wide monitoring of traffic patterns. There are two central challenges; maintaining a distributed data archive such that sensing and traffic data does not have to be moved to a central repository and secondly, orchestrating the search process across the
distributed data. The distributed data issue can be addressed with existing software solutions, such as Hadoop and Storage Request Broker [18, 19].

To address the requirements for orchestrated search we have developed a grid solution that relies on a middleware stack for farming search queries across the distributed data resources. This component is termed the Pattern Match Controller (PMC). It provides a mechanism by which a front-end grid/web service client with an IDS system can submit queries to all of the known data resources in a parallel, asynchronous manner, and manage the processing and analysis of the data at the remote repositories. Enforcing the pattern matching process to take place at the remote data repositories removes the costly requirement to shift large volumes of data during the search. The PMC builds on the Storage Request Broker (SRB) service to permit the virtualization of data repositories and data assets. This combination of technologies provides a scalable, high-volume, solution for pattern matching in complex signal processing and diagnostic domains. These methods are able to operate on Terabytes of data and hence, are potentially scalable to wide regional traffic monitoring. We wish to extend this architecture to incorporate the requirements of IDS for transport management, particularly addressing the issues of impact analysis on changing traffic conditions in one area of a city, and investigating the consequences that may extend to other regions. We also wish to explore how the system can integrate traffic information from diverse sources, for example Government as well as regional traffic authorities, weather stations, smart navigation systems and satellite information. There are many challenges with optimising the search process in highly distributed systems of this nature, and these issues are addressed in the following section.

3 Optimisation

One particular research focus is the area of pattern match optimisation. As with most machine-learning algorithms, AURA k-NN has parameters that need to be optimised to ensure highest accuracy. Optimisation is a combinatorial problem and traffic is dynamic over time so the AURA system needs re-optimising periodically to model the new data and keep the AURA memory up-to-date.

![Genetic Algorithm](image)

Fig. 4. The neural network parameter settings are optimised using a genetic algorithm.
We propose optimising a single node AURA system using, for example, genetic algorithms (GAs) or particle swarm optimisation (PSO) which have been used widely in the literature for this problem. In the following, we discuss using a genetic algorithm for optimisation as an example as shown in Fig. 4.

3.1 Optimisation Using a GA

Genetic algorithms [20, 21] are inspired by Darwin's theory of evolution. In a GA, a chromosome represents a solution for the problem and chromosomes are selected according to their fitness; chromosomes with higher fitness stand a better chance of being selected. New chromosomes are produced using the genetic operators: crossover and mutation. Generating the population of chromosomes involves the following stages.

1. Produce a random population of \( n \) chromosomes
2. Compute the fitness (score) for each chromosome, \( c \), using a fitness function \( f(c) \).
3. Repeat until the stopping criterion is met and the population is complete:
   - Select chromosomes from a population according to their fitness
   - Apply cross-over to the selected chromosomes to create new chromosomes
   - Apply mutate to the new chromosomes at each locus (position in chromosome)
   - Compute the fitness of each new chromosome
   - Update the population by replacing old chromosomes with the new chromosomes

The fundamental task in GAs is mapping the function to be optimised on to the chromosome. We propose using a binary valued chromosome where each gene encodes a parameter value of the AURA k-NN. This requires \( \log_2(r) \) bits per component where \( r \) represents the range of the values to optimise over and the \( \log_2 \) value is rounded up to the nearest integer. For example, to optimise the \( k \) value for k-NN across a range of values \( 5 \leq k \leq 50 \) has a range of 45 then \( \log_2(45) \rightarrow 6 \). Hence, optimising \( k \) requires a gene of 6 bits and the \( k \) values are encoded into their binary equivalents using 6 bits. This is illustrated in Fig. 5.

\[
\text{Variables } \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \} + \text{ Ranges } \{45, 31, \ldots, 15 \}
\]

\[
e(x_1) \quad e(x_2) \quad \ldots \quad e(x_n)
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
110101 & 10010 & \ldots & 0101 \\
\end{array}
\]

Fig. 5. Diagram demonstrating how the variables (parameter settings) are encoded in a GA chromosome. The range of value determines the number of bits in the gene. Here, variable \( x_1 \) has range 45 and uses 6 bits; variable \( x_2 \) has range 31 and uses 5 bits.
Each cycle of the GA generates a new chromosome that contains the parameter values to use for the AURA k-NN on that iteration. Running AURA k-NN with those parameter values produces a fitness score. This fitness score is either the recall accuracy for classification or the prediction accuracy for variable prediction. A high score reflects a set of parameters that work well. The chromosome is associated with that score and forms part of the chromosome population. Low scoring chromosomes “die” and are removed from the population. This process is repeated until the stopping criterion is met.

![Genetic Algorithm](image)

Fig. 6. The parameter settings for each separate CMM in the hierarchy are optimised using a genetic algorithm

We then propose expanding this optimisation to the hierarchical representation so an identical GA optimisation process would run against each node in the hierarchy as shown in Fig. 6. In the hierarchy, each AURA k-NN is processing a different portion of the overall data set, from small regional areas to large geographical areas. These different data portions are likely to require different algorithm and data settings. Hence, a GA needs to be run against each AURA k-NN within the hierarchy to optimise its algorithm and data parameters.

### 3.2 AURA Meta-Learner

GAs are easily parallelisable and do not get stuck in local minima. However, they are computationally expensive. The optimisation process needs to be rerun periodically to accommodate new data into an existing historical database or, if the road layout changes, then the appropriate database needs to be remodelled etc. Hence, we finally propose a k-NN-based meta-learner as shown in Fig. 7.

Authors have considered using a k-NN based meta-learner for selecting datasets that are similar to the current data set. Learning algorithms that performed best on the most similar previous dataset can then be selected for use for the current data set [22]. Our approach will use an instance of the AURA k-NN to store the results of the optimisations run previously and learn the best data and parameter settings for the AURA k-NN that were used to process previous data sets. These best settings may then be used to bootstrap future optimisations and short-circuit the optimisation process which is a combinatorial problem and, hence, computationally intensive. The AURA k-NN distance function to calculate data set similarity will be based on the features of the dataset such as the data types (integer-valued, real-valued or...
symbolic), the data ranges or the data set size (number of variables or number of records). Matching the features of the new data set against the features of the stored data sets using AURA k-NN will elicit the most similar data sets (neighbours). The AURA k-NN can then cross-reference the parameter settings used to process the most similar dataset(s) (nearest neighbours), that is, the best settings to use to initialise the GA for optimising the current dataset. These AURA k-NN parameter settings can then be used to initialise the GA, i.e., produce an initial set of chromosomes and, hopefully, reduce the search space of the GA through focused initialisation of the GA chromosomes.

Fig. 7. A neural network can function as a meta-learner to retrieve the best initialisation settings for the GA using characteristics (features) of the data set

4 Conclusion

The paper describes an Intelligent Decision Support tool for pattern matching aimed at data processing, optimisation, recommendation and prediction. The tool is based on a binary neural implementation of the k-nearest neighbour algorithm, AURA k-NN. AURA k-NN is fast and scalable. It can vary the region of interest dynamically, process data in parallel by subdividing processing using the time dimension and process data across a number of sites using distributed processing. We propose using a genetic algorithm (or similar) to optimise the algorithm and data settings for the pattern matcher. Additionally, the pattern matcher itself can be used to store initialisation settings for the genetic algorithm thus short-circuiting the optimisation process of the genetic algorithm which is computationally intensive. The pattern matcher stores characteristics of the data sets as feature vectors and matches the characteristics of the new data set against the stored data sets to find the most similar stored data set. The optimisation settings that were used for this stored data set can then be used to initialise the genetic algorithm for optimising the new data set.
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