Isabelle/HOL and the UTP Part 1: Isabelle basics

Simon Foster

University of York

January 29, 2013

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

Isabelle/HOL

Isabelle – a generic proof assistant

- proof checking (decidable)
- proof automation (undecidable)
- HOL Higher Order Logic
 - ► Functional Programming: f = reverse · map g
 - Logic: \forall xs. map f (map g xs) = map (f \cdot g) xs
 - similar syntax to ML and Haskell
- ► LCF-style: proofs correct by construction wrt. a small core
- Large library of theories (Sets, Lists, Lattices, Automata etc.)

Robust technology (> 25 years in the making)

Logics

- Two levels of logic
- Meta-logic (Pure):
 - Types: $\sigma, \rho, \sigma \Rightarrow \rho$
 - λ -calculus: λ f. λ x. g f x
 - Propositions: Λ , \Longrightarrow , \equiv
- ▶ Object-logic (HOL): \land , \lor , \rightarrow , \forall , \exists , = · · ·
- Two levels of syntax
- Outer-syntax: Isabelle language stuctural elements
- Inner-syntax: Terms of the logic (types, propositions etc.) (usually quoted)

• Unicode syntax; e.g. type "=>" for \Rightarrow (or type $\square T_E X$)

Use of Isabelle

- Two components: the proof engine and user interface
- Several interfaces available:
 - Proof General (emacs) [included]
 - PIDE (jEdit) [included]
 - I3P (Netbeans)
- User interacts with a proof-state, targetting a goal
- Proofs take the form of scripts which manipulate this state

Commands update the global state with new facts

Useful references

Isabelle Documentation:

http://isabelle.in.tum.de/documentation.html

- Tutorial on Isabelle/HOL
- Isabelle/Isar Reference Manual
- ▶ What's in Main useful resource for contents of HOL

Course material:

http://www-users.cs.york.ac.uk/~simonf/Isabelle/

A functional programming language

- ► HOL contains a ML/Haskell style programming language
- Allows usual functional programming constructs
- Functions which are always pure (mathematical)
 - should be total
 - can be recursive (when a suitable termination order exists)

Datatypes

- synonyms, algebraic datatypes, records, subtypes
- algebraic datatypes support induction as usual
- subtypes must be accompanied by a membership proof

types in Isabelle must be non-empty

Tree Example

Isabelle as Proof Checker

- proof in Isabelle is goal-directed
- user proposes a logical goal and then must provide a proof
- a proof is a sequence of commands acting on the proof-state
- these proof scripts are like Isabelle machine-code
- invalid commands are rejected by Isabelle
- user variously splits and simplifies goal (divide & conquer)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- when no more goals remain QED
- results is then added to Isabelle's properties
- proofs can use properties already established
- HOL contains a large library of existing proofs

Isabelle as Theorem Prover

- manual application of rules very tedious
- Isabelle contains various automated proof tools
- the most important is the simplifier
- at its simplest performs recursive equational rewriting
- can also solve simple statements of logic
- driven by Isabelle's powerful higher-order unifier
- has a database of verified rewrite rules, which can be extended
- if we know that x + 0 = x for any x then

 $x * (y + 0) \Longrightarrow x * y$

Isabelle Syntax

 Most statements of logic (inner-syntax) should be places in speech-marks

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Isabelle employs LATEX-like tags for unicode syntax
- e.g. $\forall x. \exists y. y > x$ is written as per AT_EX .
- see the cheatsheet for codes

Practical: Dates

- A date at its most basic, is a triple of natural number
- But this allows invalid combinations
- We are going to build an Isabelle type which represents only valid ones

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

This includes leap-years

Summary

- Getting started with Isabelle/HOL
- Isabelle/HOL functional programming

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- basic proof scripts
- the simplifier

Next time

- Deductive reasoning
- Inductive proofs
- The lsar natural proof scripting language
- Automating proofs (auto, blast, sledgehammer etc.)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ